

100 EAST FIFTH STREET, ROOM #324 CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202

3852

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

□ OTHER

AUTO

☐ INSUFFICIENT ADDRESS
☐ ATTEMPTED NOT KNOWN
☐ ATTEMPTO SUCH NUMBER/STREET
☐ NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
- UNABLE TO FORWARD

:1

							-	
C Agent	C, Date of Delhery	<u>\$</u>			☐ Return Receipt for Merchandise ☐ C.O.D.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		7
		# YES, exter delivery address below:	And the State State of the	Express Mail		ery? (Extra Fee)	3 3852	Doc 27
	(F. Franklik) (Virtual Park	If YESS, earlier de		3. Sender Type EZ Cartifled Mai	☐ Registered ☐ Insured Mail	4. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee)	7003 2260 0002 6723 3852	The Bar
	s you.		太	و برای	このケブ	3	7003 2260	PS Form 3811, August 2003 - V. Domesto Hearn Product
28	so the control of the control of the male of the male of the male of the heat of the male or on the stand if space permits.	Actions of the	239 N. Mani. St	ilter,			adal estyras	August 2001
Complete Re	So the second	1. Article Addressed to:	1239				2. Article Number Character from service labor	PS Form 3811, August 2

Ted Marcum #417-097 239 North Main Street Hamilton, OH 45011

RECEIVED

MAR 2 5 2004

JAMES BONINI, Clerk CINCINNATI, OHIO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Ted Marcum, Petitioner	OH HAR 10 U.S. CLER
vs	Case No. 1:02cv425 (Weber, J.; Sherman, M.J.)
William Tanner, Respondent	

This habeas action brought pro se pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 is before the Court on petitioner's motions entitled "Motion Requesting A Reconsideration; Motion Requesting To Enforce Execution Of Judgment; Or Motion Requesting To Hold Respondent In Default" (Doc. 22), "Motion For Evidentiary Hearing . . . And Consolidated Motion Requesting Appointment Of 'Co-Counsel' To Assist Petitioner" (Doc. 23), "Motion For Habeas Corpus Ad Testificandum" (Doc. 24), "Request To Advise The United States Attorney General Of His Right To Apply For 'Intervention' Pursuant To 28 U.S.C. § 2403(a)" (Doc. 25), and "Motion To Amend Habeas Corpus Relief" (Doc. 26).

ORDER

Petitioner's motion to amend his habeas corpus petition to name the Ohio Adult Parole Authority (OAPA) and State of Ohio as respondents (Doc. 26) is GRANTED to the extent that the caption of the case is hereby AMENDED to reflect that the OAPA is replacing William Tanner, the Warden of Pickaway Correctional Institution, as respondent. Because petitioner apparently has been released on parole, the OAPA is now the proper party respondent as the state correctional agency which has



"custody" of petitioner. See Rule 2, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, 28 U.S.C. foll. § 2254 (Advisory Committee Notes, Subdivision (b), Example (2)).

Petitioner's motions for evidentiary hearing and appointment of counsel (Doc. 23), motion for writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum (Doc. 24), and "Request To Advise The United States Attorney General Of His Right To Apply For 'Intervention'" (Doc. 25) are DENIED.

Petitioner's motion (Doc. 22) requesting reconsideration of this Court's Order filed February 10, 2003 (see Doc. 19) is GRANTED only to the extent the Court will accept for consideration petitioner's "two-part traverse" filed on May 5, 2003. In all other respects, the motion for reconsideration, to enforce execution of judgment, and to hold respondent in default (Doc. 22) is DENIED. However, because the record before this Court does not contain the respondent's "Answer" and attached exhibit from the Butler County Jail's physician regarding the destruction of petitioner's "medical file," which petitioner states were filed in March 2003 in response to the February 10, 2003 order (see Doc. 22, p. 2), respondent is hereby ORDERED to file those documents with this Court within twenty (20) days of the date of filing of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 3/9/04

cbc

David S. Perelman

United States Magistrate Judge

J:\BRYANCC\2004 habeas orders\02-425bidenmans.wpd